TABLE III
ALKYLATIONS TO PRODUCE ETHYL 2-ETHYL-2-METHYLALKANOATES

Starting Materials		Products, $R(C_2H_5)(CH_3)C-COOC_2H_5$						
$R_1R_2CHCOOC_2H_5$ RI R_1 , R_2 R		R	B.P., (mm. Hg)	n 25	Sapon. Equiv. Calcd. Found ^a		d 25	Yield,
CH ₃ , C ₂ H ₅	n-C ₃ H ₇	<i>n</i> -C₃H ₇	180-185 (752) ^b	1.4125	172.3	172	0.865	46.8
$\mathrm{CH_3},\ n\text{-}\mathrm{C_3H_7}$	C_2H_5	n - C_3H_7	$181-186 \ (754)^b$	1.4115	172.3	173	0.865	53.5
$\mathrm{CH_3}, \mathrm{C_2H_5}$	n - C_4H_9 ,	n - $\mathrm{C_4H_9}$	94-95(20)	1.4181	186.3	186^{c}	0.863	41.2
C_2H_5 , $n-C_4H_9$	CH_3	n-C ₄ H ₉	94-97(20)	1.4178				8.2
$\mathrm{CH_3},\mathrm{C_2H_5}$	n - $\mathrm{C}_5\mathrm{H}_{11}$	$n\text{-}\mathrm{C}_5\mathrm{H}_{11}$	107-108 (20)	1.4238	200.3	199^{d}	0.862	45.0

^a Saponification equivalents were determined using potassium hydroxide in diethylene glycol. ^b Boiling point was also observed at 80−81° (20 mm.) The literature (ref. 5) reports, b.p. 180−185°. ^c Anal. Calcd. for C₁₁H₂₂O₂: C, 70.92; H, 11.90. Found: C, 71.10: H, 12.20. ^d Calcd. for C₁₂H₂₄O₂: C, 71.95; H, 12.07. Found: C, 71.54; H, 11.86.

TABLE IV TRIALKYLACETIC ACIDS, R— (C_2H_5) $(CH_3)C$ —COOH, and Butyl Esters by Hydrolysis of Amides

	Acid						Butyl Ester			
	B.P.,			Neut. Equiv.		B.P.,				
R—	(mm. Hg)	Yield^a	n_{D}^{25}	d^{25}	Calcd.	Found	Yield^{b}	(mm. Hg)	n_{D}^{25}	
C ₂ H ₅	111-112 (16-17) ^c	50	1.4233	0.9273	130.2	131.3	27	90-92 (15)	1.4200	
n - C_3H_7 —	$127-128(21-22)^d$	50	1.4281	0.9177	144.2	144.9	12	103-105 (15)	1.4229	
n-C ₄ H ₉	137-138 (16-17) ^e	44	1.4320	0.9096	158.2	158.3	27	117-118 (16)	1.4256	
n-C ₅ H ₁₁	$147-149(16-17)^f$	48	1.4346	0.9022	172.3	172.4	28	129-131 (15)	1.4283	
n-C ₆ H ₁₃ —	$160-162(16-17)^{g}$	51	1.4377	0.8982	186.3	186.2	30	141-144 (15-16)	1.4306	

^a Purified yield. ^b Crude yield. ^c The literature (ref. 6) gives b.p. 203-204°, ^d 125.5-126.5° (23 mm.), ^e 123-125° (22 mm.), ^f 131-135° (21 mm.), ^g 135-137° (19 mm.),

2-Ethyl-2-methylhexanoic acid was prepared using 102 g. of the ethyl ester, 180 g. of potassium hydroxide and 1020 ml. of diethylene glycol. The acid was obtained in 90.5% yield: 78.0 g.; b.p. 137–138° (16–17 mm.); $n_{\rm D}^{25}$ 1.4322; neut. equiv., 157 (calcd., 158.23).

2-Ethyl-2-methylheptanoic acid was prepared in 94% yield by boiling a mixture of 110 g. of the ethyl ester, 180 g. of potassium hydroxide, and 1020 ml. of diethylene glycol for 8 hr. The product boiled at 148-149° (17 mm.); 89.0 g.; n_{2}^{5} 1.4346; neut. equiv., 173 (calcd., 172.26).

Resolution of 2-ethyl-2-methylpentanoic acid with brucine. A mixture of 21.6 g. of the dl-acid, 59.1 g. of brucine, and 130 ml. of absolute ethanol was allowed to crystallize producing 39 g. of salt, m.p. 81–82°. Six more crystallizations furnished 13.8 g. of salt: m.p. 83°; $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ –38.06° (95% ethanol; c, 8). Decomposition of this brucine salt in hydrochloric acid, extraction with ether, and distillation gave 2.1 g. (10%) of (+)-2-ethyl-2-methylpentanoic acid; b.p. 127° (20 mm.); α_D^{25} +0.970° (homogeneous, 1 dm.); $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +1.05°.

The mother liquors were concentrated according to the usual diamond scheme to give 29.0 g. of yellowish crystals: m.p. $80-81^{\circ}$, $[\alpha]_{5}^{25} - 40.62^{\circ}$ (95% ethanol; c, 8). Decomposition of this salt gave 2.7 g. of (-)-2-ethyl-2-methylpentanoic acid; b.p. 127° (20-21 mm.); $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} - 0.58^{\circ}$ (homogeneous).

An attempted resolution with quinine produced a 24% yield of quinine salt after seven crystallizations from ethanol: m.p. 131.5°; $[\alpha] - 131.4^{\circ}$ (95% ethanol; c, 8). Decomposition of this salt gave 2.3 g. of the acid: b.p. 128° (21 mm.); $[\alpha]_D^{25} - 0.14^{\circ}$. The tail fraction gave the (+)-acid, $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 0.12^{\circ}$. Cinchonine, strychnine, and (-)- α -phenylethylamine failed as resolving agents.

(+)-2-Ethyl-2-methylhexanoic acid was resolved in 5.1% yield by nine crystallizations of the brucine salt in ethyl acetate: the salt, m.p. 89°; the acid, b.p. 137° (17 mm.);

 α_D^{25} +1.12° (homogeneous, 1 dm.); $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +1.23°. The (-)-acid from the tail fraction had the value, $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ -0.58° (homogeneous).

(+)-2-Ethyl-2-methylheptanoic acid was resolved in 6.1% yield by eight crystallizations of the brucine salt from ethyl

acetate: the salt; m.p. 73°; the acid, b.p. 148° (16-17 mm.); $\alpha_D^{25} + 2.11$ ° (homogeneous, 1 dm.); $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 2.34$ °. The tail fraction gave (-)-acid, $[\alpha]_D^{25} - 1.13$ °.

CHEMISTRY DEPARTMENT DE PAUL UNIVERSITY CHICAGO 14, ILL.

Substituted γ -Lactones. IV. Some Aldehyde Condensations with $\Delta^{\beta,\gamma}$ -Angelica- and γ -Valerolactone¹

HANS ZIMMER, DOLORES GRACIAN, 2 AND JOHANNES ROTHE 3

Received November 5, 1959

In connection with some previous work⁴ we were interested in preparing γ -lactones with α -benzylidene or α -benzyl substituents. These compounds have potential value due to some of their pharmacological effects; they also serve as model compounds for further experiments directed towards the synthesis of lignans of the α,β -dibenzylbutyrolactone type.

In this note we describe some condensations of $\Delta^{\beta,\gamma}$ -angelical actone and γ -valerolactone with

⁽¹⁾ Paper III of this series, see J. Rothe and H. Zimmer, J. Org. Chem., 24, 586 (1959).

⁽²⁾ Taken from the M.Sc. Thesis of D. G., Univ. of Cincinnati (1958).

⁽³⁾ Chattanooga Medicine Company Post-Doctorate Research Fellow 1956-58.

⁽⁴⁾ Part I, H. Zimmer and J. Rothe, J. Org. Chem., 24, 28 (1959).

TABLE	II
Hydrogenation P	RODUCTS, III

Yields from				Calcd.		Found		
Cpd.	Ī	II	M.P.	Formula	C	H	C	H
IIIa		95	а	$C_{14}H_{19}NO_{2}$	72.07	8.21	71.28	8.25^{d}
IIIb	70	97	$62 - 64^{b,c}$	$C_{14}H_{18}O_4$	67.18	7.25	67.65	7.38
$_{ m IIIc}$	41	90	6869°	$\mathrm{C_{16}H_{22}O_{4}}$	69.04	7.97	69.17	7.94

^a B.p. 202-203°/4 mm. ^b B.p. 190-191°/1 mm. ^c Recrystallized from methanol. ^d Calcd.: N, 6.00. Found: N, 6.00.

certain aromatic aldehydes. Reactions of this type are known and give products of type I⁵ and II.⁶ In either case, 3-nitrobenzaldehyde furnished comparatively low yields of the desired condensation products, contaminated by large amounts of brown tars and resins. This fact confirm previous observations4 regarding the influence of electronwithdrawing groups in the aromatic aldehyde on the result and yield of the reaction. Hydrogenations of either I or II led to α -benzyl- γ -valerolactones (III), the yields from II being comsiderably higher than from I.

The structures of type I and type II compounds have been established (a) by carbon, hydrogen analysis, (b) infrared spectra, and (c) by the fact that they lead to identical hydrogenation products of type III.

EXPERIMENTAL

Melting points are uncorrected. Microanalysis are by A. Bernhardt, Microanalytisches Laboratorium im Max-Planck-Institut, Mülheim/Ruhr, Germany.

Materials. Generally Eastman White Label products

were employed without further purification.

 α -(4-Dimethylaminobenzylidene)- $\Delta^{\beta,\gamma}$ -angelical actore (Ia). $\Delta^{\beta,\gamma}$ -Angelical actone (0.08 mole), 4-dimethylaminobenzaldehyde (0.1 mole) and diethylamine (ca. 2 ml.) were heated on a water bath for 1 hr. After cooling to room temperature. the excess aldehyde was removed by shaking with sodium bisulfite solution. The remaining yellow solid was filtered and recrystallized from methanol-petroleum ether (2:1);

yield 7.4 g. (40%), orange leaflets, m.p. 120-121°.

Anal. Calcd. for C₁₄H₁₅NO₂: N, 6.11. Found: N, 6.23.

α-(3,4-Dimethoxybenzylidene)-Δβ·γ-angelicalactone (Ib) was prepared similarly from veratraldehyde, but in benzene solution (initial cooling with water, then 30 min. heating on a water bath with stirring), to give yellow prisms from methanol, m.p. 118-119°, yield 40%. The compound slowly turns orange under the influence of light.

Anal. Calcd. for C14H14O4: C, 68.28; H, 5.73. Found: C, 68.21; H, 5.64.

 α -(3,4-Diethoxybenzylidene)- $\Delta^{\beta,\gamma}$ -angelicalactone (Ic) was prepared from 3,4-diethoxybenzaldehyde (no solvent, 40 min. heating on a water bath) to give yellow crystals from methanol, m.p. 100-101°, yield 43%.

Anal. Calcd. for C₁₆H₁₈O₄: C, 70.05; H, 6.61. Found: C,

69.85; H, 6.69.

 α -(3-Nitrobenzylidene) $\Delta^{\beta,\gamma}$ -angelicalactone (Id) was prepared from 3-nitrobenzaldehyde (no solvent, initial cooling with ice salt, then standing overnight). The crude resinous product was dissolved in methylene chloride and chromatographed on neutral alumina. A yield of 70%, as yellow needles from methanol, m.p. 154-155°, was obtained besides much noncrystalline material.

Anal. Calcd. for C₁₂H₉NO₄: C, 62.34; H, 3.92; N, 6.06.

Found: C, 62.12; H, 3.97; N, 6.30.

 α -(4-Dimethylaminobenzylidene)- γ -valerolactone (IIa) was obtained from the aldehyde and γ -valerolactone in benzene with sodium methoxide as condensing agent (1.5 hr. stirring at room temperature; yield 69%; see ref. 4) as yellow leaflets from methanol, m.p. 130-131°.

Anal. Caled. for C₁₄H₁₇NO₂: N, 6.06. Found: N, 6.11.

Similarly, the following were prepared: α-(3,4-dimethoxy-benzylidene)-γ-valerolactone (IIb), m.p. 116° (from methanol); yield 54%.

Anal. Calcd. for C14H16O4: C, 67.73; H, 6.50. Found: C, 67.51; H, 6.49.

α-(3,4-Diethoxybenzylidene)-γ-valerolactone (IIc), m.p. 110-

112° (from methanol); yield 40%.

Anal. Calcd. for C₁₆H₂₀O₄: C, 69.54; H, 7.30. Found: C, 69.68; H, 7.12.

 α -(3-Nitrobenzylidene)- γ -valerolactone (IId), m.p. 110-112°, yellow crystals from methanol; the product was isolated in a small yield only and was separated from much resinous material by chromatography of its solution in methylene chloride on alumina.

Anal. Calcd. for C₁₂H₁₁NO₄: C, 61.80; H, 4.75; N, 6.01. Found: C, 61.56; H, 4.89; N, 6.20.

Hydrogenations. These were performed using an Adams catalyst in methanol in a Parr apparatus (50 p.s.i. initial pressure). The results are tabulated below.

University of Cincinnati DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY CINCINNATI 21, OHIO

2-Acetyl-6-methoxycoumaran-3-one. Benzylation at the Terminal Methyl Group¹

WM. IVO O'SULLIVAN AND CHARLES R. HAUSER

Received November 2, 1959

Wheeler and co-workers² have synthesized a number of coumaran-3-ones by rearrangement of the

⁽⁵⁾ J. Thiele, R. Tischbein and E. Lössow, Ann., 319, 180 (1901); W. F. v. Oettingen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 52, 2024 (1930); P. B. Russel, A. R. Todd, and W. S. Waring, Biochem. J., 45, 530 (1949); D. H. Marrian, P. B. Russell, and A. R. Todd, Biochem. J., 45, 533 (1949); A. Dornow and G. Wedekind, Arch. Pharm., 286, 388 (1953).

⁽⁶⁾ M. S. Losanitsch, Monatsh., 35, 311 (1914). (7) J. H. Helberger, S. Ulubay, and H. Civelecoglu, Ann., 561, 215 (1949).

⁽¹⁾ Supported by the Office of Ordnance Research, U. S. Army.